

OVERVIEW of the Daf

שם from שמעון from שם

The Gemara concludes its unsuccessful challenge to R' Chisda's position that open and closed letters could be used interchangeably.

2) The writing of the luchos

R' Chisda states: The letters "mem" and "samech" of the the luchos stood miraculously. Additionally, the writing could be read from both sides of the the luchos.

3) The Aleph Beis

The Gemara presents a long discussion regarding the letters of the Aleph Beis. The first part discusses lessons deduced from the shape and order of the letters. The discussion continues as the Gemara explains how to expound the Aleph Beis with different configurations, i.e. א"ל ב"ם ג"ל ב"ם ג"ל ב"ם בט"ע and א"ל ב"ש, אח"ס בט"ע בעו"ע.

4) MISHNAH: Details regarding the melacha of writing are presented.

5) Clarifying the Mishnah

A number of the words in the Mishnah are translated into Aramaic.

Additional inks are added to the list of inks that carry liability.

The disagreement between R' Eliezer and Chachamim is explained.

Rava bar R' Huna points out that the Mishnah is inconsistent with the opinion of R' Eliezer and R' Chisda points out that the Mishnah is inconsistent with the opinion of R' Yehudah.

6) Writing rulings

A Beraisa rules: If a person writes one letter and completes a book or weaves one thread and completes a garment he is liable.

Daf DIAGRAM

(Continued on page 2)

מאי טעמא פשוטה כרעיה דגימ"ל לגבי דל"ת -שכן דרכו של גומלי חסדים לרוץ אחר דלים

> Today's Daf Digest is dedicated לע"נ דוד בן מענדל By his children Mr. and Mrs. Craig Cowan, London, England

Distinctive INSIGHT

Writing in Dust

באבק דרכים

he Gemara states that it is prohibited to write with dust. Rashi explains this in two manners. One is that a person may not take dust or mud and use it to write on a surface. Although this type of writing will not last long, it is still rabinically prohibited. Alternately, Rashi explains that if dust is spread on a surface, it is prohibited for a person to use his finger and write in the dust. Rashash favors the first explanation which Rashi offers, because in the second explanation, where a person is writing in the dust, the Gemara would have used the phrase "בחול ובאבק דרכים" – in the dust or the sand of the road", which is the common phrase the Gemara uses when discussing an act done with or in the ground.

An opinion of the ראייה is quoted by ריין. He says that these types of writing are very temporary, but they do last at least for a moment. This is why these forms of writing are rabinically forbidden. This is according to the understanding that writing with "dirt" means forming letters with moist dirt, which can last on a temporary basis. However, if a person runs his finger along water to make wavy letters, or if he writes in the sand which immediately falls back into place, these do not have any duration at all, and this would be permitted. Ritva argues and poses that any formation of letters in prohibited. The chiddush of the Gemara would be that we might have thought that writing in a manner which will last in any form, even temporarily, would be חייב on a Torah level. The rule is, however, that even writing in dust is only rabinically prohibited. Nevertheless, Shulchan Aruch (O.C. 340:4) rules that writing in a manner which has no duration is allowed. Writing in frost on a window or in dust is prohibited (see שער הציון כייח). ■

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. How did the luchos appear from the back?
- 2. The words שקר and אמת are different in two ways. What lessons are extracted from these differences?
- 3. Why is one exempt for writing two letters in dust?
- 4. Under what condition would everyone agree that one is liable for writing one letter?

HALACHAH Highlight

The Basic Premise Of Kosaiv¹

orming of any kind of letter, symbol, picture, or design that conveys a particular meaning or represents an idea (in any language), is the basic concept of the melacha of Kosaiv.

A) Any method of forming a letter or symbol is Kosaiv

bol is the melacha of Kosaiv. Thus, Kosaiv may be accom- of the written surface. plished by writing, scratching or engraving on a surface. Etch- D) Temporary writing (Aino Miskayim) ing raised letters or symbols on a stone is likewise included under Kosaiv. Stamping with a rubber stamp or pressing em-face is included in the melacha M'deoraisa of Kosaiv. A symbol bossed letters or designs into a surface (such as labels or corpo- that can last only temporarily, (e.g. tracing letters on a frosted rate seals) are also methods of Kosaiv and are prohibited. Even or fogged windowpane) is not the Melocho M'deoraisa. A temforming a letter or design by cutting it out of paper or plastic is porary inscription is referred to as a K'sivah Sh'aino Miskayim Kosaiv. Similarly, perforating the paper (or any surface) where- (inscription that cannot last permanently). Although not forby the perforations depict a letter or picture is the melacha of bidden M'deoraisa, there are rabbinical restrictions on the Kosaiv.

B) Only meaningful symbols are Kosaiv

Kosaiv only applies where the inscription represents a meaningful symbol or illustration that conveys a particular thought or ing surface with respect to the melacha of Kosaiv (and other message. Merely scribbling, doodling, or aimlessly dabbling Halachos as well). Therefore, writing or drawing on one's skin meaningless lines or markings is not Kosaiv, (although to actu- with a pen or marker is Kosaiv M'deoraisa. The fact that the ally do so may be prohibited for other reasons). Similarly, cut- ink will fade (as a result of perspiration, natural shedding of ting meat or cake into simple square, round, or triangular skin, etc.) does not classify the writing as "Aino Miskayim" beshapes is not Kosaiv (even though cutting out human shapes cause the written letters and the surface upon which they were or other meaningful depictions is indeed Kosaiv). However, inscribed (i.e. the skin) are technically of permanent quality. any symbols that represent some sort of idea or meaning are subject. The fading that occurs later is caused by natural body functo Kosaiv.

C) Destructive writing

The melacha M'deoraisa of Kosaiv can occur even if the 1 The 39 Melachos, by Rabbi Dovid Ribiat, pages 945-949. Used with inscription defaces the surface upon which it was inscribed. the permission of the author.

(Insight...continued from page 1)

R' Ami rules: If a person writes one letter in Teveria and one in Tzipori he is liable since no impediment prevents him from being able to group these letters together.

A Beraisa rules: If one corrects even one letter he is liable. R' Sheishes and Rava offer different suggestions as to the novelty of this ruling. ■

This is not "Mekalkel" because the melacha of Kosaiv entails As a general rule, any method of forming a letter or sym- the formulation of the symbol or letter itself, not improvement

Only a symbol that is lasting and made on a permanent surmaking of temporary forms of Kosaiv.

E) Writing on one's skin

Human skin is Halachically considered a full-fledged writtions and is merely comparable to a subsequent erasure of fullfledged Kosaiv symbols. ■

Falsehood Doesn't Stand a Chance שייין שקר תיייו אמת. ומאי טעמא שיקרא אחדא כרעיה קאי - שיקרא לא קאי

▲ he symbolism of the letters of the word שקר/falsehood is indicated already with its first letter, שיין, which comes to a sharp point, and is therefore unstable. The letters which comprise the word truth/אמת, however, are solidly based. This hint is associated with the letter תנייו, which is the last letter in the word. What message is there for us in that that truth will triumph in the end. this contrast?

not on firm ground. However, it is truth aggadic, not halachic. which ultimately prevails. Before it is all

The Rishonim write that we see from Ben Yehoyada points out that lies this Gemara that the proper form of the and mistakes are often based upon initial letter שיין is that it come to a single and superficial observations. Falsehood point at the bottom (ש), and not that it and deceit attempt to succeed by creating be formed with a flat base with three impressions which are spurious and missetems rising from it (w). Meiri writes that guiding. This is indicated by the very first this is not necessarily a conclusive proof, letter of the word שקר which is already because the context of this statement is

Maharsha writes that when the Geover, truth will prevail and it will become mara says that truth "stands", it means clear that truth will be sustained. It is that it is sustained and constant. Falsethe final letter of the wor אמת which hood, on the other hand, wreaks havoc represents this concept, in order to show and is destructive to the world. ■

