TOG

OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Torah study:

The Gemara cites two teachings related to the danger of separating from the words of Torah.

2) Me'ilah

A Mishnah is quoted that elaborates on which items are subject to the prohibition of me'ilah and which are not. The quote concludes with a dispute between R' Yehudah and R' Yossi whether the fruit that grows from a sanctified tree is subject to the prohibition of me'ilah.

A Baraisa relates that Rebbi ruled like R' Yehudah in the case of a pit and like R' Yossi in the case of a field or a tree.

The language of the Baraisa is challenged and revised.

Another Baraisa that discusses the status of the contents of sacred items is presented. Rabbah limits the dispute in the Baraisa to one of two circumstances.

Abaye challenges this understanding, thereby forcing Rabbah to adjust his explanation of the dispute.

The Gemara elaborates on the point of dispute in the two disputed cases.

The interpretation of the first dispute is unsuccessfully challenged.

The Gemara's understanding of R' Elazar's position in the second dispute is unsuccessfully challenged. ■

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. What happens to a person who separates himself from Torah?
- 2. According to Rabbah, what is the point of dispute between Tanna Kamma and R' Elazar the son of R' Shimon?
- 3. What is the point of dispute between R' Meir and Rabanan?
- 4. Does the sale of a pit include the sale of its water?

<u>.</u>

Distinctive INSIGHT

Does הקדש acquire things using **חצר?**אבל הקדיש בור ואחר כך נתמלא מים...מועלין בהן ואין מועלין
במה שבתוכן, דברי רבי יהודה

The Mishnah in Me'ilah cited in our Gemara rules that if a person consecrates a pit, and it is later filled with water, only the pit is consecrated, but not its contents. Rashbam and Tosafos explain that we do not say that becomes the "owner" of the water situated in its pit by the virtue of the חצר. The reason for this is that חצר functions as a form of an extension of one's hand (יד) and we do not find that הקדש has a ".

K'tzos HaChoshen (200:#1) elaborates upon this theme. He cites several sources which concur that הקדש does not acquire items using the חצר. He notes that some explain that חצר works using זי, while others understand that it works based upon שליחות. Although שליחות does possess the ability to use שליחות, nevertheless, he explains that we only recognize the function of שליחות to bed used.

Achronim explain that a חצר can work to restrict an item for its owner, but at that point it is still necessary for the person to acquire it (וכיה), and it is the זכיה that works based upon יד. Therefore, הקדש, which does not have זי, cannot complete the acquisition.

K'tzos also notes that this inability for הקדש to acquire something using חצר only occurs when הקדש uses its own to try to acquire something. However, a civilian may use his private yard in order to transfer something to something due to חצר. K'tzos also notes that even when מעילה applies to something due to חצר, the laws of מעילה, trespass, do not apply to it. This is because the laws of מעילה only apply to something that is declared as consecrated by mouth, but not to something that becomes owned by mouth, but not to something that becomes owned by mouth, but legal transfer alone. In fact, the Gemara in Zevachim (45) even discusses a disagreement among Tannaim whether applies to an item which is consecrated by a non-Jew. We see that although something clearly is owned by a Jewish person.

Netzi"v adds that this same distinction can be made regarding items that may be brought on the altar. Only those items which are verbally declared to be consecrated may be brought on the altar for an offering. We also find that there is a special mitzvah to formally declare the status

HALACHAH Highlight

Lost objects found in shul

אבל בבור ושובך דברי הכל מועלין בהן ואין מועלין במה שבתוכן However, concerning a pit or dovecote all opinions agree that me'ilah applies to the pit but not to its contents

subsequently fills with water according to all opinions the acquire the water that fell into its domain using the mechaquire objects that are placed in its domain as do private inproperty with kinyan chatzer and explains that a person's me'ilah since only items sanctified by a person are subject to jects that are found in its domain. the prohibition of me'ilah and not items that fell into hekdesh property by themselves.

This discussion bears greatly on a common scenario. One finds a lost object on the floor in a shul. Is the finder (Insight...continued from page 1)

of שקדש upon a first born male animal (בכור) or the goat which is designated as a chattas by the lots which are drawn by the kohen gadol on Yom Kippur, although both of these are automatically earmarked for their respective offerings.

permitted to keep the object or do we assume that the shul L he Gemara teaches that if one sanctifies a pit and it has acquired the object by virtue of the fact that it is in its courtyard? Magen Avrohom⁴ rules that the finder may keep water is not sacred. The reason is that the water is not a de- the object for himself. The reason is, as explained by Rashrivative of the pit. Tosafos1 explains that hekdesh does not ba, kinyan chatzer works as an extension of the hand of the owner and hekdesh does not have a hand by which to acnism of kinyan chatzer since hekdesh property does not acquire property. Proof to this ruling is found in a Mishnah in Shekalim (7:2) that teaches that money found on Har dividuals. Rashba² also writes that hekdesh does not acquire Habayis is not sacred since the Beis Hamikdash complex does not have the means to acquire the lost money. Ketzos chatzer acquires property on his behalf because it is consid- Hachoshen⁵ challenges the application of Rashba to the ered an extension of his hand-חצר משום יד-and hekdesh case of a shul. A shul does not have the status of hekdesh as does not possess a hand by which to acquire property in this does the pit under discussion in our Gemara or the Mishfashion. Shita Mikubetzes³ cites authorities who maintain nah in Shekalim. It is no more than jointly owned property that a chatzer may be able to acquire property in its domain of the members of the community and as such one could but it would still not be subject to the prohibition of say that the shul which is not hekdesh does acquire lost ob-

- רשב"א לקמן ע"ט ד"ה והקשה
 - שיטה מקובצת ד"ה אבל
- מגן אברהם סי' קנ"ד ס"ק כ"ג

The value of Torah study כל הפורש עצמו מדברי תורה נופל בגיהנם

n today's daf we find that one who separates himself from Torah falls into gehinom.

In Radin it was the custom for the bochurim to learn before davening. At the end of the seder, the shamash would bang on the table to remind everyone that it was time for shacharis. One time, the shamash miscalculated and he thumped the bimah several minutes before it was actually time to daven. This caused the entire yeshiva to miss out on those precious minutes of learning and the Chofetz Chaim, zt"l,

was obviously very disturbed by this slip.

this bitul Torah still concerned the maggid shiur Rav Avraham Yisrael Sala-Chofetz Chaim. One day he gave a mon, zt"l, went to visit the two luminarheartfelt groan and said, "Oy vey! Who ies. The group first went to Rav Chaim knows what the shamash will do in the Soleveitchik, zt"l, but before they could beis din shel ma'alah regarding those say a word to him, he suggested that minutes of bitul Torah that he inadvert- they go check on the Chofetz Chaim to ently caused..."

tude regarding his own time as well; his Chofetz Chaim's room they were surunshakable dedication to learning To- prised to see him sitting and completely rah at every available moment amazed absorbed in his Gemara study. Rav everyone who knew him.

Yaakov Broide, z"l, had appointed them tors. ¹ ■ to execute his last will and testament.

A large group of bochurim from Even after many years had passed, Yeshivas Toras Chaim, along with their ensure that all of his needs were ade-The Chofetz Chaim had this atti- quately met. When they entered the Chaim was so impressed that he blurted During the summer of תרצ"ז, it out, "He just came in from a long and became known that the Chofetz Chaim, exhausting trip and he is already sitting zt"l, and Rav Chaim Brisker, zt"l, were and learning with such concentration!" traveling together to Warsaw since Reb His admiration was not lost on the visi-

1. הנהגות החפץ חיים ע' רפ"ט וש"ג

