חוליו ב'

CHICAGO CENTER FOR TORAL Chesed

COT

OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) MISHNAH: The Mishnah states that generally anyone may slaughter except for a deaf-mute, insane person and a minor but if an adult watched them slaughter correctly the slaughtering is valid.

2) Clarifying the Mishnah

A contradiction in the Mishnah is noted whether anyone may slaughter לכתחילה or only בדיעבד.

R' Acha the son of Rava challenges the assertion that the term לכתחילה indicates לכתחילה from a Mishnah.

R' Ashi answers this challenge.

R' Acha the son of Rava cites another Mishnah that clearly indicates that the term הכל indicates לכתחילה.

R' Ashi and R' Acha proceed to discuss whether the word לכתחילה always indicates לכתחילה or not.

Rabbah bar Ulla suggests a reading of the Mishnah to resolve the apparent contradiction.

This explanation is unsuccessfully challenged.

The Gemara begins to explore the origin of the tum'ah of the person that the Mishnah addresses according to this explanation.

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. Is a minor permitted to slaughter an animal?
- 2. What contradiction in the Mishnah is noted by the Gemara?
- 3. How do we know that the term הכל in our Mishnah indicates לכתחילה?
- 4. Why is it necessary for our Mishnah and a Mishnah in Zevachim to indicate the same halacha?

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated By Rabbi and Mrs. Makhlouf Suissa In loving memory of their father ר' יעיש בן ר' דוד, ע"ה

<u>Distinctive INSIGHT</u>

Shechita done by a deaf-mute, an imbecile or a minor חוץ מחרש שוטה וקטן וכו'

▲ he Mishnah teaches that if a deaf-mute, an imbecile or a minor performs shechita without being supervised, the shechita is not valid. This is even if the knife which was used was inspected before it was used. Rashba and others write that this halacha also applies if the minor is experienced and well-trained in performing shechita. Still, any shechita which is not supervised is deemed not kosher, because it is not unusual for a serious issue to occur, and we must consider that any procedure might have been flawed. Normally, the one doing the shechita can communicate and deal with the issue, but these individuals are not reliable to inform us of a problem that surfaces. Nimukei Yosef adds that even if the minor or one of the others tells us that the shechita went smoothly, they have no credibility, and we cannot rely upon their statement.

The Gemara detects that the wording of the Mishnah is peculiar. The reason given why a minor should not perform shechita is that he might not perform the procedure properly. This precautionary expression, that he might not do the job properly, implies that although we do not allow a minor to do shechita, if he has already done it, his act may be acceptable if we have no further reason to feel that it is ruined. Accordingly, Rava learns from the wording of the Mishnah that we do not give an animal to a child for shechita, even for non-sacred use (חולין), even with an adult supervising his work.

Yet, the Rishonim (Tosafos HaRosh, Ramban, et al.) note that the subsequent statement of the Mishnah states this explicitly. There we find that if a minor performs shechita with supervision, the shechita is acceptable after the fact, but that a child should not be entrusted to do shechita.

Rashba and Ra"n (et al.) explain that this style of teaching a halacha of extremes is common in Mishnayos. The opening statement here is that shechita done by a child while unsupervised is disqualified, even after the fact. The concluding ruling of the Mishnah is that even with supervision, we do not give an animal to a child to do schechita. Therefore, the comment of

HALACHAH Highlight

Women fulfilling the mitzvah of tzitzis

הכל חייבין בציצית

Everyone is obligated in tzitzis

he Gemara cites a Baraisa in Arachin (3b) that states vah of tzitzis. Ran², however, notes that according to some vah is that the pasuk says וראיתם אותו – and you shall see tv. them which teaches that tzitzis is a mitzvah that is performed while it is day when one could see the tzitzis and the mitzvah does not apply at night when one cannot see the tzitzis.

Rambam¹ rules that women are exempt from the mitz-

(Insight...continued from page 1)

Rava, which we learned was determined from an inference from the opening words of the Mishnah, is that although the shechita of a minor is acceptable if done while he is supervised, we still do not give him an animal for shechita לכתחילה. ■

that everyone is obligated in the Mitzvah of tzitzis. Wheth- texts the Baraisa in the Gemara Kiddushin does not list er or not women are included in the mitzvah of tzitzis is a tzitzis as an example of a positive time-bound mitzvah. The point of confusion. The Mishnah in Kiddushin (29a) Tanna, following the Baraisa in Arachin, holds that the states that women are exempt from positive time-bound mitzvah of tzitzis applies even at night. Shulchan Aruch³ mitzvos. The Gemara offers examples of positive time-rules in accordance with Rambam that women are exempt bound mitzvos from which women are exempt and includ- from tzitzis because it is a positive time-bound mitzvah. ed amongst the examples is tzitzis. On the other hand, in Rema⁴ adds that a woman who wears tzitzis appears haugh-Menachos (43a) the Baraisa declares that all are command-ty; therefore a woman should not wear tzitzis. Mishnah ed about tzitzis and when the Baraisa enumerates the peo-Berurah⁵ explains that although women voluntarily fulfill ple obligated in the Mitzvah it includes women as part of other positive time-bound mitzvos, the mitzvah of tzitzis is that list. R' Shimon, however, disagrees and exempts wom- unique in that even men are not obligated to purchase a en from tzitzis, consistent with the Mishnah in Kiddushin, four-cornered garment in order to be able to fulfill the giving the reason that it is a positive time-bound mitzvah. mitzvah. Therefore, a woman who voluntarily fulfills a The reason that it is considered a positive time-bound mitz- mitzvah that men are not obligated to fulfill appears haugh-

- רמביים פייג מהלי ציצית הייט.
- ריין לקידושין יייד: בדפי הריייף דייה איזו.
 - שוייע אוייח סיי יייז סעי בי.
 - רמייא שם.
 - מייב שם סקייה.

"Except for..." הכל שוחטין ושחיטתן כשירה חוץ מחרש

⊥t is well known that the laws of Yoreh Dei'ah, especially those of shechitah, are very complex. The Pri Megadim, zt"l, wished to write a comprehensive explanation of the first part of Yoreh Dei'ah, and to ensure that he had the right understanding of the subject, he spent twenty years delving into maseches Chulin. Only afterward did he begin to write his essential commentary on the Shach and Taz in the first volume of Yoreh Dei'ah.

chos are exceedingly easy. They breeze shechutei chutz..." through them quickly and expect to not really understand the halachos. Beis HaMikdash?" He had perhaps learned them through review.

Rav Aizel wanted to send him a nor..."1 message that he was not nearly ready for kabbalah. The gaon said, "I am

But some people lack this under- afraid that it is impossible to give you standing and believe that these hala- kabbalah. You see, I am worried about

Despite the ray's well-earned repureceive semichah from the greatest ha- tation for acerbity, the young man lachic authorities. One such simpleton could not leave well enough alone. went to the famously sharp Ray Aizel Rather than retreating, he foolishly Charif, zt"l, to be certified as a asked what exactly Rav Aizel meant by shochet. After a short time it was clear this. "How could I transgress sheto Ray Aizel that the young man did chutei chutz when today there is no

Rav Aizel Charif retorted, "I am on a superficial level but had apparent-referring to the chutz in the first mishly felt that they would remain an- nah in Chulin: 'All may slaughter and chored in his mind with hardly any their slaughter is kosher with —expect for-a deaf mute, a lunatic and a mi-

1. מאורה של תורה, פרשת ראה ■

